



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------|--------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/644,406 | | 08/19/2003 | Gunter D. Niemeyer | 017516-002120US | 7931 | |
| 51947 | 7590 | 03/20/2006 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| INTUITIV 950 KIFER | | GICAL | GARLAND, | GARLAND, STEVEN R | | |
| SUNNYVA | LE, CA | 94086 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | | 2125 | | |
| | | | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Examinor Steven R. Garland 2125 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address— This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 11 August 2005. (a) A reply was received on | | 10/644.406 | NIEMEYER ET AL | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: 1. □ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 11 August 2005. (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (Including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.13(a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.13(a) and the final rejection in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13(a) for the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.35(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) □ No reply has been received. 2. □ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) □ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) □ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A belance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication | Notice of Abandonment | | | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: 1. □ Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 11 August 2005. (a) □ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (Including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.13(a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.13(a) and the final rejection in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13(a) for the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.35(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) □ No reply has been received. 2. □ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) □ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) □ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A belance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication | | Steven R. Garland | 2125 | | | |
| This application is abandoned in view of: 1. Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 11 August 2005. (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on (b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Molice of Appeal (with appeal fee), or (3) a timely filed Request for Confinued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114). (c) A reply was received on to time the file was not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings have been received on | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | | | | | |
| (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of | | | | | | |
| (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of | Applicant's foilure to timely file a preparation to the Office | a letter mailed as 44 Avenuet 2005 | | | | |
| (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.131. (c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) No reply has been received. 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. | (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of I | Mailing or Transmission dated |), which is after the expiration of the | | | |
| application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.134. (c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ☒ No reply has been received. 2. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission date(), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. ☐ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.37(a) or (b), or requests to withdra | | | • • | | | |
| final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below). (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. 2. □ Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) □ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) □ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) □ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3.□ Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) □ Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) □ No corrected drawings have been received. 4.□ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5.□ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6.□ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7.□ The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. 5 | application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely file | d Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); | | | | |
| 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated Nallowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due. The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ is the publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ is the publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated (h), which is after the expiration of the period for repty. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. 5. SEVEN GARLAND LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to review under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. | | | | | | |
| from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on | (d) ⊠ No reply has been received. | • | • | | | |
| | | | the statutory period of three months | | | |
| The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$ (c) |), which is after the expiration of the statutory p | | | | | |
| (c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received. 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. SIEVEN GARIAND LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. | (b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balanc | e o <u>f</u> \$ is due. | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. 5. CP CARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. | The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ | The publication fee, if required by 37 | CFR 1.18(d), is \$ | | | |
| Allowability (PTO-37). (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. 5. Constant of the period for seeking court review of the decision of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. 5. Constant of the period for seeking court review of the decision of 8/11/05 had been filed. 5. Constant of the period for seeking court review of the decision of 8/11/05 had been filed. | (c) \square The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has n | ot been received. | | | | |
| after the expiration of the period for reply. (b) No corrected drawings have been received. 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. 5. Constitution of the decision of the decision of the decision of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. 5. Constitution of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. 5. Constitution of the decision of the de | Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as req Allowability (PTO-37). | uired by, and within the three-month p | period set in, the Notice of | | | |
| 4. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants. 5. ☐ The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filling of a continuing application. 6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. ☐ The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. J. ☐ Patent and Trademark Office | (a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on | _ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Trar | nsmission dated), which is | | | |
| the applicants. 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. SIEVEN GARIAND LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. JS. Patent and Trademark Office | (b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received. | | | | | |
| 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. 5.6 SIEVEN GARIAND LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | 4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by th the applicants. | e attorney or agent of record, the ass | ignee of the entire interest, or all of | | | |
| of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims. 7. The reason(s) below: The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. 500 LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | 5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. | n attorney or agent (acting in a repres | sentative capacity under 37 CFR | | | |
| The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no response to the office action of 8/11/05 had been filed. 566 SIEVEN GARIAND LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | 6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claim | rence rendered on and becaus ms. | se the period for seeking court review | | | |
| SIEVEN GARIAND LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | 7. The reason(s) below: | | | | | |
| LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | The examiner called Mr. Nguyen to confirm that no resp | onse to the office action of 8/11/05 | had been filed. | | | |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | 506 | O | | | | |
| SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2160 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | STEVEN GARLAND & PIP | LEU PILARU | | | | |
| TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100 Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM | AINER | | | |
| J.S. Patent and Trademark Office | Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdra minimize any negative effects on patent term | TECHNOLOGY CENTER 21 aw the holding of abandonment under 37 | 00 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to | | | |
| | U.S. Patent and Trademark Office | of Abandonment | Part of Paper No. 03152006 | | | |